arXiv:1403.6590v1 [quant-ph] 26 Mar 2014

On some entropy inequalities

Lin Zhang*

Institute of Mathematics, Hangzhou Dianzi University, Hangzhou 310018, PR China

Abstract

In this short report, we give some new entropy inequalities based on the observation made by Berta *et al* [arXiv:1403.6102]. These inequalities obtained extends some well-known inequalities. We also obtain a condition under which a tripartite operator becomes a Markov state.

1 Introduction

Recently, Carlen [1] gives improvement of some entropy inequalities by *Perels-Bogoliubov inequality* and *Golden Thompson inequality*. It is this paper that sparked the present author to extend his work [2] and get a unifying treatment of some entropy inequalities via Rényi relative entropy [3]:

$$\mathrm{S}(\rho||\sigma) - \mathrm{S}(\Phi(\rho)||\Phi(\sigma)) \geqslant -2\log \mathrm{Tr}\left(\sqrt{\rho}\sqrt{\exp\left[\log\sigma + \Phi^*(\log\Phi(\rho)) - \Phi^*(\log\Phi(\sigma))\right]}\right) \quad (1.1)$$

Note that, by the monotonicity of Rényi relative entropy, the following inequality is derived:

$$\mathrm{S}(\rho||\sigma) \geqslant -2\log \mathrm{Tr}\left(\sqrt{\rho}\sqrt{\sigma}\right) \quad (1.2)$$

for two states ρ, σ. In fact, this inequality can be extended as follows:

$$\mathrm{S}(\rho||\sigma) \geqslant -2\log \mathrm{Tr}\left(\sqrt{\rho}\sqrt{\sigma}\right) \quad (1.3)$$

*E-mail: godyalin@163.com; linyz@zju.edu.cn

for a state ρ and a substate σ (i.e. $\mathrm{Tr}\,(\sigma) \leqslant 1$). In our unifying treatment, a matrix inequality is important:

$$\left\| \sqrt{M} - \sqrt{N} \right\|_2^2 \leqslant \| M - N \|_1 \leqslant \left\| \sqrt{M} - \sqrt{N} \right\|_2 \left\| \sqrt{M} + \sqrt{N} \right\|_2, \tag{1.4}$$

where M, N are positive matrices. Combining all of the above-mentioned inequality, we can improved several entropy inequalities. In what follows, we list them here:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{S}(\rho_{AB}||\sigma_{AB}) - \mathrm{S}(\rho_A||\sigma_A) &\geqslant -2\log \mathrm{Tr}\left(\sqrt{\rho_{AB}} \sqrt{\exp(\log\sigma_{AB} - \log\sigma_A + \log\rho_A)} \right) && (1.5)\\ &\geqslant \left\| \sqrt{\rho_{AB}} - \sqrt{\exp(\log\sigma_{AB} - \log\sigma_A + \log\rho_A)} \right\|_2^2 && (1.6)\\ &\geqslant \frac{1}{4} \| \rho_{AB} - \exp(\log\sigma_{AB} - \log\sigma_A + \log\rho_A) \|_1^2 . && (1.7) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I(A:B|C)_\rho &\geqslant -2\log \mathrm{Tr}\left(\sqrt{\rho_{ABC}} \sqrt{\exp(\log\rho_{AC} - \log\rho_C + \log\rho_{BC})} \right) && (1.8)\\ &\geqslant \left\| \sqrt{\rho_{ABC}} - \sqrt{\exp(\log\rho_{AC} - \log\rho_C + \log\rho_{BC})} \right\|_2^2 && (1.9)\\ &\geqslant \frac{1}{4} \| \rho_{ABC} - \exp\left(\log\rho_{AC} + \log\rho_{BC} - \log\rho_C\right) \|_1^2 , && (1.10) \end{aligned}$$

where $I(A:B|C)_\rho := \mathrm{S}(\rho_{AC}) + \mathrm{S}(\rho_{BC}) - \mathrm{S}(\rho_{ABC}) - \mathrm{S}(\rho_C)$.

Later Berta *et al* [4] present a Rényi generalization of quantum conditional mutual information $I(A:B|C)_\rho$. We will employ some ideas from the paper [4] to derive some new entropy inequalities in this short report. These inequalities obtained extends some well-known inequalities. We also obtain a condition under which a tripartite operator becomes a Markov state, i.e. a state of vanishing conditional mutual information.

Throughout the remaining part of the paper, we give a brief introduction about the notation used here. We consider only finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. A *quantum state* ρ on $\mathcal{H}$ is a positive semi-definite operator of trace one. The set of all quantum states on $\mathcal{H}$ is denoted by $\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{H})$. For each quantum state $\rho \in \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{H})$, its von Neumann entropy is defined by $\mathrm{S}(\rho) := -\mathrm{Tr}\,(\rho\log\rho)$. The *relative entropy* of two mixed states ρ and σ is defined by

$$\mathrm{S}(\rho||\sigma) := \begin{cases} \mathrm{Tr}\,(\rho(\log\rho - \log\sigma)), & \text{if } \mathrm{supp}(\rho) \subseteq \mathrm{supp}(\sigma), \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A *quantum channel* Φ on $\mathcal{H}$ is a trace-preserving completely positive linear map defined over the set $\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{H})$.

The famous strong subadditivity (SSA) inequality of quantum entropy, proved by Lieb and Ruskai in [5], states that

$$\mathrm{S}(\rho_{ABC}) + \mathrm{S}(\rho_C) \leqslant \mathrm{S}(\rho_{AC}) + \mathrm{S}(\rho_{BC}), \tag{1.11}$$

guaranteeing that $I(A:B|C)_\rho$ is nonnegative. Recently, the operator extension of SSA is obtained by Kim in [6]. Following the line of Kim, Ruskai gives a family of new operator inequalities in [7].

Ruskai is the first one to discuss the equality condition of SSA, that is, $I(A:B|C)_\rho = 0$. By analyzing the equality condition of Golden-Thompson inequality, she obtained the following characterization [8]:

$$I(A:B|C)_\rho = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \log\rho_{ABC} + \log\rho_C = \log\rho_{AC} + \log\rho_{BC}. \tag{1.12}$$

Note here that conditional mutual information can be rewritten as

$$I(A:B|C)_\rho = \mathrm{S}(\rho_{ABC}||\exp(\log\rho_{AC} + \log\rho_{BC} - \log\rho_C)). \tag{1.13}$$

2 Main results

Proposition 2.1 ([4]). *It holds that*

$$\mathrm{S}(\rho_{ABC}||\exp(\log\sigma_{AC} + \log\tau_{BC} - \log\omega_C)) \tag{2.1}$$
$$= I(A:B|C)_\rho + \mathrm{S}(\rho_{AC}||\sigma_{AC}) + \mathrm{S}(\rho_{BC}||\tau_{BC}) - \mathrm{S}(\rho_C||\omega_C), \tag{2.2}$$

where $\rho_{ABC} \in \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{H}_{ABC})$, $\sigma_{AC} \in \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{H}_{AC})$, $\tau_{BC} \in \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{H}_{BC})$, *and* $\omega_C \in \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{H}_C)$.

This identity leads to the following result:

Proposition 2.2 ([4]). *It holds that*

$$\mathrm{S}(\rho_{ABC}||\exp(\log\sigma_{AC} + \log\sigma_{BC} - \log\sigma_C)) \tag{2.3}$$
$$= I(A:B|C)_\rho + \mathrm{S}(\rho_{AC}||\sigma_{AC}) + \mathrm{S}(\rho_{BC}||\sigma_{BC}) - \mathrm{S}(\rho_C||\sigma_C), \tag{2.4}$$

where $\rho_{ABC}, \sigma_{ABC} \in \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{H}_{ABC})$.

Using monotonicity of relative entropy, we have

$$\mathrm{S}(\rho_{AC}||\sigma_{AC}) \geqslant \mathrm{S}(\rho_C||\sigma_C) \ \text{ and } \ \mathrm{S}(\rho_{BC}||\sigma_{BC}) \geqslant \mathrm{S}(\rho_C||\sigma_C).$$

This yields that

$$\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{S}(\rho_{AC}||\sigma_{AC})+\mathrm{S}(\rho_{BC}||\sigma_{BC})\right]\geqslant \mathrm{S}(\rho_C||\sigma_C).$$

Therefore, we can draw the following conclusion:

Theorem 2.3. *It holds that*

$$\mathrm{S}(\rho_{ABC}||\exp(\log\sigma_{AC}+\log\sigma_{BC}-\log\sigma_C)) \tag{2.5}$$

$$\geqslant I(A:B|C)_\rho+\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{S}(\rho_{AC}||\sigma_{AC})+\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{S}(\rho_{BC}||\sigma_{BC}), \tag{2.6}$$

where $\rho_{ABC},\sigma_{ABC}\in \mathrm{D}\left(\mathcal{H}_{ABC}\right)$.

Corollary 2.4. *For two tripartite states* $\rho_{ABC},\sigma_{ABC}\in \mathrm{D}\left(\mathcal{H}_{ABC}\right)$*, it holds that*

$$\mathrm{S}(\rho_{ABC}||\exp(\log\sigma_{AC}+\log\sigma_{BC}-\log\sigma_C))\geqslant 0.$$

In particular, $\mathrm{S}(\rho_{ABC}||\exp(\log\rho_{AC}+\log\rho_{BC}-\log\rho_C))\geqslant 0$*, i.e.* $I(A:B|C)_\rho\geqslant 0$*, the strong subadditivity inequality.*

If $\mathrm{S}(\rho_{ABC}||\exp(\log\sigma_{AC}+\log\sigma_{BC}-\log\sigma_C))=0$, then using Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\begin{cases} I(A:B|C)_\rho & =0;\\ \mathrm{S}(\rho_{AC}||\sigma_{AC}) & =0;\\ \mathrm{S}(\rho_{BC}||\sigma_{BC}) & =0. \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

This leads to the following:

$$\rho_{AC}=\sigma_{AC},\ \ \rho_{BC}=\sigma_{BC}. \tag{2.8}$$

Thus $\rho_C=\sigma_C$. This indicates that

$$\exp(\log\rho_{AC}+\log\rho_{BC}-\log\rho_C)=\exp(\log\sigma_{AC}+\log\sigma_{BC}-\log\sigma_C).$$

Note that $I(A:B|C)_\rho=0$ if and only if $\exp(\log\rho_{AC}+\log\rho_{BC}-\log\rho_C)=\rho_{ABC}$. Therefore $\exp(\log\sigma_{AC}+\log\sigma_{BC}-\log\sigma_C)=\rho_{ABC}$. From the above-mentioned process, it follows that

$$\mathrm{S}(\rho_{ABC}||\exp(\log\sigma_{AC}+\log\sigma_{BC}-\log\sigma_C))=0\Longrightarrow\rho_{ABC}=\exp(\log\sigma_{AC}+\log\sigma_{BC}-\log\sigma_C).$$

We know that, for any state $\sigma_{ABC}\in \mathrm{D}\left(\mathcal{H}_{ABC}\right)$,

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\exp(\log\sigma_{AC}+\log\sigma_{BC}-\log\sigma_C)\right)\leqslant 1.$$

But what will happens if $\mathrm{Tr}\left(\exp(\log\sigma_{AC}+\log\sigma_{BC}-\log\sigma_C)\right)=1$? In order to answer this question, we form an operator for any state $\sigma_{ABC}\in \mathrm{D}\left(\mathcal{H}_{ABC}\right)$,

$$\exp(\log\sigma_{AC}+\log\sigma_{BC}-\log\sigma_C).$$

If $\exp(\log\sigma_{AC}+\log\sigma_{BC}-\log\sigma_C)$ is a valid state, denoted by ρ_{ABC}, then

$$\rho_{AC}=\mathrm{Tr}_B(\exp(\log\sigma_{AC}+\log\sigma_{BC}-\log\sigma_C)),\ \rho_{BC}=\mathrm{Tr}_A(\exp(\log\sigma_{AC}+\log\sigma_{BC}-\log\sigma_C)),$$

and $\rho_C=\mathrm{Tr}_{AB}(\exp(\log\sigma_{AC}+\log\sigma_{BC}-\log\sigma_C))$. Furthermore $\mathrm{S}(\rho_{ABC}||\exp(\log\sigma_{AC}+\log\sigma_{BC}-\log\sigma_C))=0$. Thus $I(A:B|C)_\rho=0$, i.e. $\exp(\log\sigma_{AC}+\log\sigma_{BC}-\log\sigma_C)$ is a Markov state.

Theorem 2.5. *Given a state ρ_{ABC}. We form an operator $\exp(\log\rho_{AC}+\log\rho_{BC}-\log\rho_C)$. If*

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\exp(\log\rho_{AC}+\log\rho_{BC}-\log\rho_C)\right)=1,$$

then $\exp(\log\rho_{AC}+\log\rho_{BC}-\log\rho_C)$ must be a Markov state.

From the above result, we see that if a state ρ_{ABC} can be expressed by the form of $\exp(\log\sigma_{AC}+\log\sigma_{BC}-\log\sigma_C)$ for some state σ_{ABC}, then ρ_{ABC} must ba a Markov state.

A question naturally arises: Which states ρ_{ABC} are such that $\exp(\log\rho_{AC}+\log\rho_{BC}-\log\rho_C)$ is a Markov state? In other words, we are interested in the structure of the following set:

$$\left\{\rho_{ABC}\in \mathrm{D}\left(\mathcal{H}_{ABC}\right):\mathrm{Tr}\left(\exp(\log\rho_{AC}+\log\rho_{BC}-\log\rho_C)\right)=1\right\}. \tag{2.9}$$

Theorem 2.6. *For two tripartite states $\rho_{ABC},\sigma_{ABC}\in \mathrm{D}\left(\mathcal{H}_{ABC}\right)$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{S}(\rho_{ABC}||\exp(\log\sigma_{AC}+\log\sigma_{BC}-\log\sigma_C)) && (2.10)\\
&\geqslant -2\log\mathrm{Tr}\left(\sqrt{\rho_{ABC}}\sqrt{\exp(\log\sigma_{AC}-\log\sigma_C+\log\sigma_{BC})}\right) && (2.11)\\
&\geqslant \left\|\sqrt{\rho_{ABC}}-\sqrt{\exp(\log\sigma_{AC}-\log\sigma_C+\log\sigma_{BC})}\right\|_2^2 && (2.12)\\
&\geqslant \frac{1}{4}\left\|\rho_{ABC}-\exp(\log\sigma_{AC}-\log\sigma_C+\log\sigma_{BC})\right\|_1^2. && (2.13)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $\mathrm{Tr}\left(\exp(\log\sigma_{AC}+\log\sigma_{BC}-\log\sigma_C)\right)\leqslant 1$, it follows from (1.3) that the desired inequality is true. □

Further comparison with the inequalities in [6, 7] is left for the future research.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by NSFC (No.11301124).

References

[1] E.A. Carlen, E.H. Lieb, *Remainder Terms for Some Quantum Entropy Inequalities*, arXiv:1402.3840

[2] L. Zhang, *A lower bound of quantum conditional mutual informtaion*, arXiv:1403.1424

[3] L. Zhang, *A stronger monotonicity inequality of quantum relative entropy: A unifying approach via Rényi relative entropy*, arXiv:1403.5343

[4] M. Berta, K. Seshadreesan, M. Wilde, *Rényi generalizations of the conditional quantum mutual information*, arXiv:1403.6102

[5] E. Lieb and M. Ruskai, *Proof of the strong subadditivity of quantum-mechanical entropy*, J. Math. Phys. **14**, 1938-1941 (1973).

[6] I. Kim, *Operator extension of strong subadditivity of entropy*, J. Math. Phys. **53**, 122204 (2012).

[7] M. Ruskai, *Remarks on on Kim's strong subadditivity matrix inequality: extensions and equality conditions*, J. Math. Phys. **54**, 102202 (2013).

[8] M. Ruskai, *Inequalities for quantum entropy: A review with conditions for equality*, J. Math. Phys. **43**, 4358-4375 (2002); erratum **46**, 019901 (2005).